Case 2	24-cv-06854-SPG-AS	Document 119-1 #:10078	Filed 05/14/25	Page 1 of 3 Page ID	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		COASTKEEPER, ESTWATCH, STKEEPER E ECOLOGICAL ION, S,	DISTRICT COURT FORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION Case No: 2:24-cv-06854 SPG (ASx) DISCOVERY MATTER [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO AMEND COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION NOS. 6, 18, AND 21 Date: June 12, 2025 Time: 10:00 AM		
18 19 20			Dept: 540 Date Action F	Filed: August 13, 2024	
21 22 23 24 25	On May 14, 2025, Defendant County of San Luis Obispo ("County") filed a Stipulated Motion to Amend the County's Responses to Plaintiffs' Second Requests for Admission Nos. 6, 18, and 21. The Court, having considered the Stipulated Motion and finding good cause thereon, hereby GRANTS the Stipulated Motion. The County's Responses to Plaintiffs Second Requests for Admission are				
26 27 28	hereby amended as follows: 1. The County's Response to Requests for Admission No. 6 now states:				

"The County hereby incorporates by reference General Objections 3–6 to this Request. The County further objects that this Request is vague and ambiguous in referring to "ponds" in the plural without providing an adequate description of the specific locations and/or other information such that each pond referenced in the Request is clearly identified.

Subject to the County's Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and the specific objections identified above, the County answers as follows: The County admits that it has authority and control over the maintenance of the frog ponds that are located at or near the base of Lopez Dam and that it does maintain these frog ponds."

2. The County's Response to Requests for Admission No. 18 now states:

"The County hereby incorporates by reference General Objections 3–6 to this Request.

Subject to the County's Preliminary Statement and General Objections identified above, the County answers as follows: The County admits it owns, operates and maintains the double arch culvert at Biddle Regional Park, subject to any limitations stemming from exercise of applicable federal and state laws or regulatory authorities."

3. The County's Response to Requests for Admission No. 21 now states:

"The County hereby incorporates by reference General Objections 3–6 to this Request. The County further objects to this Request as an impermissible compound request.

Subject to the County's Preliminary Statement and General Objections identified above, the County answers as follows: The County denies it operated and maintained the concrete weir structure that was in Tar Springs Creek and identified as fish impediment PAD_ID736895 (Passage ID 13262). After reasonable inquiry, the County lacks information sufficient to determine whether it

Document 119-1 Case 2:24-cv-06854-SPG-AS Filed 05/14/25 Page 3 of 3 Page ID owned the structure PAD_ID736895 (Passage ID 13262), and therefore denies that it did. The County admits it removed the structure when the bridge was replaced." IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: Hon. Alka Sagar United States Magistrate Judge